arXiv:quant-ph/9808047v1 25 Aug 1998

NON-FOCK REPRESENTATIONS OF HEISENBERG ALGEBRAS

S. S. Sannikov
Physico-Technical Institute
1 Academichna St., 310108 Kharkov, **UKRAINE**

A. A. Stanislavsky
Institute of Radio Astronomy
of the Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences
4 Chervonopraporna St., 310002 Kharkov, **UKRAINE**
E-mail: stepkin@ira.kharkov.ua

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to present the mathematical techniques of a new quantum scheme using a dual pair of reflexive topological vector spaces in terms of the non-Hermitian form. The scheme is shown to be a generalization of the well-known unitary quantum theory and to describe jointly quantum objects and physical vacuum.

PACS number(s): 02.20.-a, 03.65.Fd, 03.70.+k

I. Introduction

As is shown in [1], there is strong theoretical support for the idea that under extremal conditions (at supersmall distances or superhigh concentrations of energy) one has to take a non-standard dynamical system (called relativistic bi-Hamiltonian one described by the Heisenberg algebra $h_8^{(*)}$) into consideration. In the case the Fock representation of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ and unitary representation (generated by it) of its group of automorphisms $Sp^{(*)}(4, \mathbf{C})$ (a dynamical group of system) was found to be incompatible to a condition of integrability of Hamiltonian flows p_μ and $\dot{p}_\mu$ in a representation space, the Hilbert space H. Hence, it is neccessary to use other representations together with a more general scheme (called by the non-unitary one for brevity) of functional analysis based the pair of topological vector spaces $(\dot{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{F})$ dual with respect to some non-Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The theory of such representations develops here.

As far as we know the non-unitary quantum theory took its beginning yet in the 60st years when infinite dimensional non-unitary representations of the rotation group and the Lorentz group called later by semispinor ones were considered in [2]. They result from the Dirac operation applied to Grassmann spinors. A large part of these results (not on amount of publications, but on volume of information) was presented in difficultly accessible editions or as preprints.

The mathematical apparatus of the new quantum theory is developed here: non-Fock representations of those Heisenberg algebras which are of interest from a physical standpoint are effectively built, their connection with extended Fock representations including some additional variables is established. The general purpose of this paper is to prove the theorem formulating here in a conditional form so:

The Non-unitary Quantum Theory = The Unitary Quantum Theory + hidden parameters . $(*)$

If this theorem to read from the right on the left, it will be possible to tell that an introduction of hidden (or additional) variables in the usual (unitary) quantum theory makes it by non-unitary one, i. e. as well as von Neuman [3] assumed, this results in a radical reorganization of theory.

The paper is organized as follows. After a briefly review in section II of the Fock representation of Heisenberg algebras describing the standard oscillator the spectrum of which is isomorphic to the standard model of natural number series, we move directly in section III to the non-Fock representation and the non-standard oscillator having an infinite number of states with negative numbers of occupation and not having any ground state. The main technical obstacle seems to be a cycling of creation and annihilation operators overcomed by means of a decycling operation. In sections IV-VI, we compare Fock and non-Fock representations in detail. Finally, in sections VII-VIII physical consequences are discussed.

II. Fock representation of the algebra h_2 and a representation of the algebra $sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ associated with it

1) We start our consideration of Heisenberg algebra representations connected in a certain way with Fock representations underlying the Heisenberg-Schrödinger unitary quantum theory from a brief reminder about the latters.

The Heisenberg algebra h_{2n} is normally written in term of $2n$ generators $q_k, p_k (k = 1, \ldots, n)$ by commutation relations (h_{2n} are isomorphic to the nilpo-

tent Lie algebra n_{3n}):

$$[q_j, p_k] = i\delta_{jk}, \quad [q_j, q_k] = [p_j, p_k] = 0\,. \tag{1}$$

It is convenient to pass to Fock operators a_k^{a} where

$$a_k^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_k + ip_k)\,, \quad a_k^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_k - ip_k) \tag{2}$$

obeyed commutation relations

$$[a_k^{\mathrm{a}}, a_{k'}^{\mathrm{a}'}] = \delta_{kk'}\,\varepsilon^{\mathrm{aa}'}, \quad \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}' = 1, 2;\; k, k' = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3}$$

(here $\delta_{kk'}$ is the Cronecker symbol, $\varepsilon^{\mathrm{aa}'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the Levi-Cività symbol). The enveloping algebra $U[h_{2n}]$ represents an infinite dimensional Lie algebra which contains a finite dimensional Lie subalgebra denoted by $l_{n(2n+3)+1}$ and called as a subalgebra of small oscillations, structure of which is described by the formula (the Levi-Mal'tzev decomposition)

$$l_{n(2n+3)+1} = (\sigma_{n(2n+1)} \oplus 1) +) \, h_{2n} \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma_{n(2n+1)} \oplus 1 = h_{2n}^2$, and $\sigma_{n(2n+1)}$ are isomorphic to the Lie algebra $sp(n, \mathbf{C})$ (h_{2n} is understood to be the complex algebra $h_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$). Generators of the algebra $\sigma_{n(2n+1)}$ are bilinear forms $a_k^{\mathrm{a}} a_{k'}^{\mathrm{a}'}$.

Putting the group $Sp(n, \mathbf{C})$ as a group of internal automorphisms of the algebra $h_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$, we shall formally write

$$T(v) a_k^{\mathrm{a}} T^{-1}(v) = v_{kk'}^{\mathrm{aa}'} a_{k'}^{\mathrm{a}'} \tag{5}$$

where $v \in Sp(n, \mathbf{C})$, and $T(v)$ is a "spinor" (infinite dimensional) representation of the group $Sp(n, \mathbf{C})$. By definition, Lie brackets (3) is invariant under transformations (5).

The Fock representation of the algebra h_{2n} is built, as is known [4], in the space

$$\mathcal{F}_F = \overline{U[a_k^2]}^{\,\tau} \tag{6}$$

where $U[a_k^2]$ is the maximal commutative subalgebra in $U[h_{2n}]$ (a_k^2 are coordinates on the Lagrangian plane), τ is a topology. In the Fock realization we have $a_k^2 = z_k \in \mathbf{C}$ and $a_k^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$. Thus a Hermitian inner product is defined on $\mathcal{F}_F$ as

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} \overline{f(z)}\, g(z)\, d\mu(z), \tag{7}$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_F(z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n)$, with the measure

$$d\mu(z) = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{-\bar{z}_k z_k}\, dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k \tag{8}$$

($\wedge$ is the external Cartan multiplication). In the Heisenberg-Schrödinger quantum theory the Hilbert topology and the Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_F = \mathcal{H}$ is considered. An additional symmetry of operators a_k^{a} or $a_k^1 = (a_k^2)^+$, where $+$ is the conjugation for the form (7), connects with the inner product (7):

$$(a_k^2 f, g) = (f, a_k^1 g). \tag{9}$$

Therefore the Fock representation deals with the certain real form of the algebra $h_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ denoted by $h_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ having $Sp(n, \mathbf{R})$ as a group of automorphisms. As is known [4], the unitary two-valued representation $v \to T(v)$ of the group $Sp(n, \mathbf{R}) \ni v$ is realized in $\mathcal{H}$. The Fock representation is known also to be unitary equivalent to the Schrödinebra representation (1) (the Stone-von Neuman theorem about uniqueness of unitary representations of the Heisenberg group H_{2n}).

We will be interested in other real forms of the algebra $h_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ and the group $Sp(n, \mathbf{C})$ (in particular, the compact group $USp(n, \mathbf{C}) = Sp(n)$) and their representations which have completely another nature, as the operators (adequate them) $T(v)$, first, are unbounded on the representation space $\mathcal{F}$ (its topology will be determined further), and, secondly, are multiple-valued on the group.

The Fock representation of the algebra $h_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ proves to suffer by a serious lack: in some sense it is inconsistently (this inconsistency is eliminated further), and to see this, it is enough to consider the case $n = 1$.

2) We shall remind that the Dirac operation (see [1]) applied to the Pauli bundle $E = (\mathbf{A_{3,1}}, S_2, \bar{L})$ where a structural group $\bar{L}$ of a fiber S_2 is the group $SL(2, \mathbf{C}) \approx Sp(1, \mathbf{C})$ results us in the algebra $h_2(\mathbf{C})$.

As is known, the Fock representation of the algebra h_2 is built in the space

$$\mathcal{F}_F = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m \tag{10}$$

(here $\oplus$ is understood to be an orthogonal sum) where $\{f_m\}$ is a canonical basis on which the operators a^α act under the law

$$0 \underset{a^1}{\leftarrow} f_0 \underset{a^1}{\overset{a^2}{\rightleftarrows}} f_1 \underset{a^1}{\overset{a^2}{\rightleftarrows}} \cdots \; f_m \underset{a^1}{\overset{a^2}{\rightleftarrows}} f_{m+1} \; \cdots \tag{11}$$

i. e. $a^2 f_m \sim f_{m+1}$, and $a^1 f_m \sim f_{m-1}$ (f_0 refers to as a ground state [5]: $a^1 f_0 = 0$). In the z-realization we have

$$a^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} d/dz \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_m = \frac{z^m}{\sqrt{m!}}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{12}$$

Under the action of bilinear forms $a^\alpha a^\beta$ the space $\mathcal{F}_F$ breaks up in the orthogonal sum of two subspaces of even and odd (rather $z \to -z$) functions: $\mathcal{F}_F = \mathcal{F}^{(+)} \oplus \mathcal{F}^{(-)}$.

Statement 1 *Infinite dimensional irreducible representations (adequate to spins $-\frac{1}{4}$ and $-\frac{3}{4}$) of the Lie algebra $usp(1,\mathbf{C}) \approx su(2)$ are realized in $\mathcal{F}^{(+)}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(-)}$, are given by the operators $\vec{L} = \frac{1}{4} a^\alpha \vec{\sigma}^\beta_\alpha a_\beta$ where $a_\beta = \varepsilon_{\beta\gamma} a^\gamma$ ($\varepsilon_{\beta\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{\sigma}$ are the Pauli matrices) and are denoted by $D^+(-\frac{1}{4})$ and $D^+(-\frac{3}{4})$ [6].*

In the z-realization we have ($L_\pm = L_1 \pm iL_2$)

$$L_3 = \frac{1}{2} z \frac{d}{dz} + \frac{1}{4}\,, \quad L_+ = \frac{1}{2} z^2\,, \quad L_- = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \tag{13}$$

such that the Casimir operator is $\vec{L}^2 \equiv -\frac{3}{16} = \lambda(\lambda+1)$, whence and it follows that $\lambda = -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}$.

$D^+(\lambda)$ is understood to be a representation of th algebra $su(2)$ with the lowest Cartan vector (see [7] where such representations refer to as semispinor ones) in a general case of any spin λ. As $sl(2,\mathbf{C}) = su^c(2)$, the semispinor representation $D^+(\lambda)$ of the algebra $su(2)$ extends obviously up to the representation $(\lambda, 0)^+$ of the algebra $sl(2,\mathbf{C})$ [8].

Next, according to be told above let us introduce the denotions

$$\mathcal{F}^{(+)} = \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}}\,, \quad \mathcal{F}^{(-)} = \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{-\frac{3}{4}}\,.$$

By definition,

$$a^\alpha : \quad \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{-\frac{3}{4}} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}}\,, \tag{14}$$

so the operators a^α, changing a parity of space, lower a weight of representation (spin) on $\frac{1}{2}$: $\quad -\frac{1}{4} \to -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{3}{4}$. On the other hand, in the Fock representation

$$a^\alpha : \quad \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{-\frac{3}{4}} \to \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}}\,, \tag{15}$$

i. e. the same operators raise the weight of representation on $\frac{1}{2}$: $\quad -\frac{3}{4} \to -\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{4}$. The phenomenon described by the formulae (14), (15) refers us to as a cycling of the operators a^α, and here is this cycle:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{-\frac{3}{4}} \quad \underset{a^\alpha}{\overset{a^\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}}\,.$$

The given phenomenon is connected with a weight of representation (spin, as the speech goes actually about representations of the rotation group $SO(3) \sim$

$SU(2) \approx Sp(1))$ taking on values in the numerical field $\mathbf{Z_2}$ of the simple characteristic 2 [9]. Indeed, it is possible to present the pair of numbers $-\frac{1}{4}$ and $-\frac{3}{4}$ as $\lambda = -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{p}{2}$ where $p \in \mathbf{Z_2} = \{0, 1\}$. Thus we can write

$$\mathcal{F}_F = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{Z_2}} \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^p)}_{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{p}{2}} . \tag{16}$$

It is an inconsistency of the Fock representation of the algebra h_2 from the points of view of the theory of spin that consists that in this representation a spin takes on values in the field $\mathbf{Z_2}$, while on physical reasons it must take on values in the field of zero characteristic. This remark is of extremely importance for whole subsequent consideration.

The decycling operation formulated further is connected with a passage from the ring $\mathbf{Z_2}$ to the standard ring of zero characteristic $\mathbf{Z}$, which is connected with $\mathbf{Z_2}$ by the formula $\mathbf{Z_2} = \mathbf{Z}/\mathrm{mod}\ 2$. From the point of view of this formula $\mathbf{Z}$ is a universal covering for $\mathbf{Z_2}$.

III. Decycling operation. Non-Fock representation of the algebra h_4

1) At first we shall describe the non-Fock representation of the algebra h_2 [9].

As is known, the Fock representation (denoted as $T_0(h_2)$) of the algebra h_2 plays an important role in the Heisenberg-Schrödinger quantum (unitary) theory. It is completely characterized by its ground state $|0\rangle$, called sometimes the mathematical vacuum, or the spectrum of the operator $\hat{N} = a_2 a_1 = a_1^+ a_1$, which is isomorphic to the standard model of natural number series $\mathbf{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. The standard oscillator (it has a ground state) is described by this representation.

Another non-standard (or non-Fock) representation denoted by $T_1(h_2)$ is possible to underlie another quantum theory — the non-unitary one. A refusal from an additional condition of Hermitian symmetry $a_2 = a_1^+$, and, hence, from a condition of the positive definite spectrum for the operator $\hat{N} = a_2 a_1$ results in the representation $T_1(h_2)$. In these more general conditions a spectrum of the operator $\hat{N}$, remaining equivalent, becomes unlimited in both parties, and in general it is complex: $Sp\ \hat{N} = \{\varepsilon + p\}_{p \in \mathbf{Z}}$. Weight vectors $|p\rangle$ of such (non-standard) oscillator satisfy the equation $\hat{N}\ |p\rangle = (\varepsilon + p)|u\rangle$, and the spectrum of the operator $\hat{N}$ is isomorphic to a non-standard model of natural number series $\mathbf{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ ($\mathbf{Z}$ satisfies all the axiomas of arithmetics, excepting the axioma of choice).

$\varepsilon \in \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}$ associates with inequivalent representations such that for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ a representation is irreducible (for $\varepsilon = 0$ it is incompletely reducible, as there is an invariant subspace strained on vectors $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, \ldots$). As is seen, h_2 has many non-standard representations, but all of them describe the same object — the non-standard oscillator.

The non-standard oscillator has an infinite set of states with negative numbers of occupation: $|-1\rangle, |-2\rangle, \ldots$ (which we call by states of physical vacuum), and, hence, does not have a ground state (the first element is not present). $\mathbf{Z}$ is said to be ordered as a set, while $\mathbf{Z}_+$ is completely ordered. However according to the Zermelo theorem any set can be completely ordered. Our basic result — the theorem $(*)$ about existence of additional (hidden) parameters in the non-unitary theory is predetermined by that theorem (see section V).

2) We shall return to the Fock representation of the algebra h_2. Taking into account the physical nature of spin (see above), it is necessary to pass from the space $\mathcal{F}_F$ to the space [10]

$$\mathbf{F}_z = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^p)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}} \tag{17}$$

which is a sort of covering for the pair of spaces (16) (as $\mathbf{Z}$ is a covering for $\mathbf{Z_2}$). Here $\oplus$ is understood to be a direct sum of spaces, as an opportunity of the usual sum are already exhausted (we shall notice that in the theory of representations of the group $SO(2,1) \sim SU(1,1) \approx Sp(1,\mathbf{R})$ a weight of representation is not any spin, and consequently the expansion $\mathcal{F}_F \subset \mathbf{F}_z$ is not obligatory in this case).

On the space $\mathbf{F}_z$ (17) the operators a^α act under the law

$$\cdots \quad \underset{a}{\leftarrow} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{5}{4}} \quad \underset{a}{\leftarrow} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{-\frac{3}{4}} \quad \underset{a}{\leftarrow} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}} \quad \underset{a}{\leftarrow} \quad \cdots,$$

i. e. they always only lower spin λ on $\frac{1}{2}$ ($\lambda \to \lambda - \frac{1}{2}$), so a^α: $\mathcal{F}^{((-1)^p)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^{p-1})}_{-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{p}{2}}$. The operators raising spin on $\frac{1}{2}$ ($\lambda \to \lambda + \frac{1}{2}$) are denoted by b_α and act on $\mathbf{F}_z$ under the law:

$$\cdots \quad \overset{b}{\rightarrow} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{-\frac{3}{4}} \quad \overset{b}{\rightarrow} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}} \quad \overset{b}{\rightarrow} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{\frac{1}{4}} \quad \overset{b}{\rightarrow} \quad \cdots,$$

i. e. b_α: $\mathcal{F}^{((-1)^{p-1})}_{-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{p}{2}} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^p)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}}$. The operators b_α were found in [11], and in the z-realization they are written down as

$$b_\alpha = {}^{(p)}b_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\left(z, -\frac{d}{dz} + \frac{2p}{z}\right), \quad p \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{18}$$

With a^α they are connected by the relation (it is checked directly)

$${}^{(p)}b_\alpha = z^{2p} a^\beta z^{-2p} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}\,. \tag{19}$$

As is seen, it is the phenomenon of cycling that is connected with a degeneracy at $p=0$: ${}^{(0)}b_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}a^\beta \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}$.

Statement 2 *On the space* $\mathbf{F}_z$ *the operators* b_α *satisfy relations*

$$ {}^{(p+1)}b_\alpha \; {}^{(p)}b_{\alpha'} \; - \; {}^{(p+1)}b_{\alpha'} \; {}^{(p)}b_\alpha = \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'} \, , $$

and, hence, set some representation of the algebra h_2 *on* $\mathbf{F}_z$*. This representation (as well as the representation given by the operator* a^α*) is incompletely reducible, as in* $\mathbf{F}_z$ *there is a flag* $0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{F}_z^N \subset \mathbf{F}_z^{N+1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{F}_z$ *from* h_2*-invariant subspaces*

$$ \mathbf{F}_z^N = \bigoplus_{p=-\infty}^{N} \mathcal{F}^{((-1)^p)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}} \, . $$

It is important to notice that the operators a and b do not commute among themselves. Further, these operators will be transformed in the operators φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ following absolutly to other commutation relations (20).

Now note that the space $\mathbf{F}_z$ contains subspaces of different parity having some inconveniences. Moreover the z-realization possesses a defect such that the operators ${}^{(p)}b_\alpha$ belong not to the algebra $U[h_2]$, but the divisive ring $K(h_2) = S^{-1}U[h_2]$ (entered in [11]) builded over h_2 on the multiplicative subset $S = U[b_1]$. All this forces, making use of a connection between spaces of different parity $\mathcal{F}^{(-)}_\lambda = z\mathcal{F}^{(+)}_\lambda$, to pass to spaces of one, namely, positive parity. As

$$ a^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} = z\mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} $$

and

$$ b_\alpha \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_\lambda = b_\alpha z \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \, , $$

we shall have

$$ \frac{1}{z} a^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} \, , \quad b_\alpha z \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \, . $$

Theorem *The operators*

$$ \varphi = \frac{1}{z} a = \begin{pmatrix} z^{-1} d/dz \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2} \, {}^{(p)}b_\alpha \, z = \frac{1}{2} \left(z^2 \, , \, -z\frac{d}{dz} + 2p + 1 \right) $$

are densely defined on the space

$$ \mathbf{F}_z^{(+)} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}} \, , $$

act on it under the law

$$ \cdots \underset{\varphi}{\overset{{}^{(-1)}\bar{\varphi}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{3}{4}} \underset{\varphi}{\overset{{}^{(0)}\bar{\varphi}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}} \underset{\varphi}{\overset{{}^{(1)}\bar{\varphi}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{\frac{1}{4}} \underset{\varphi}{\overset{{}^{(2)}\bar{\varphi}}{\rightleftarrows}} \cdots $$

and obey commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi^\alpha\varphi^{\alpha'} - \varphi^{\alpha'}\varphi^\alpha = {}^{(p+1)}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha\ {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_{\alpha'} - {}^{(p+1)}\bar{\varphi}_{\alpha'}\ {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha = 0,\\ &\varphi^\alpha\ {}^{(p+1)}\bar{\varphi}_{\alpha'} - {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_{\alpha'}\ \varphi^\alpha = \delta^\alpha_{\alpha'}.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

We shall enter the operators a^{a}_α, defining them by their restrictions on each of subspaces $\mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}}$ $(p \in \mathbf{Z})$:

$$a^1_\alpha|_{\mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}}} = \varphi^\alpha\,, \quad a^2_\alpha|_{\mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}}} = {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha\,. \tag{21}$$

(the language of Hopf algebras could here be pertinent, however it is too cumbersome [12]).

From (20) it follows that on $\mathbf{F}^{(+)}_z$ the operators a^{a}_α satisfy commutation relations

$$[a^{\mathrm{a}}_\alpha, a^{\mathrm{a}'}_{\alpha'}] = \delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\,\varepsilon^{\mathrm{aa}'}\,, \tag{22}$$

and by that set some representation of the Heisenberg algebra h_4 on $\mathbf{F}^{(+)}_z$, which, as it will be shown further, is not equivalent to the Fock representation of this algebra.

Let's assume $\frac{1}{2}z^2 = \zeta$. Then the operators φ^α, ${}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha$ will be written down in the form

$$\varphi^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} d/d\zeta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha = \left(\zeta,\ -\zeta\frac{d}{d\zeta} + p + \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{23}$$

In this realization the space of representation is written down as

$$\mathbf{F}_\zeta = \bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}} \tag{24}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}}$ are classes of holomorphic functions of a complex variable ζ.

Points $-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{p}{2}$ of a complex plane of a spin variable λ have a unpleasant property that between two points $-\frac{1}{4}$ and $-\frac{3}{4}$ the cycling is still possible, and this phenomenon to exclude for ever, we shall replace $-\frac{1}{4}$ by a point of the general position $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{2}$, assuming a spin value in the formulae (23), (24), is equal $\lambda + \frac{p}{2}$. We write down these formulae as

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} d/d\zeta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha = \left(\zeta,\ -\zeta\frac{d}{d\zeta} + 2\lambda + p + 1\right),\\ &\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda)}_\zeta = \bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

((25) pass to (23) at $\lambda = -\frac{1}{4}$). Thus we shall have

$$\varphi^\alpha:\ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p-1}{2}}\,; \quad {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha:\ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p+1}{2}}\,. \tag{26}$$

IV. Comparison of representations

Statement 3 *A representation of algebra h_4 in the space $\mathbf{F}_\zeta$ is not equivalent to the Fock representation of this algebra, which is realized in the space $\mathcal{F}_F$.*

To see this, at first we shall give basic formulae of the Fock representation of the algebra h_4.

1) This representation is given by the operators

$$a^1_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha} = \varphi^\alpha, \quad a^2_\alpha = z_\alpha = \bar{\varphi}_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1,2; \quad z_\alpha \in \mathbf{C} \tag{27}$$

(see section II) acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_F$ formed by functions of two complex variables z_1, z_2. A canonical basis in $\mathcal{F}_F$ consists of own functions of the operator $N = \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 a^2_\alpha a^1_\alpha$, which are written down as

$$f^{m_2}_{m_1} = \frac{z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}}{\sqrt{m_1! m_2!}}, \quad m_1, m_2 = 0,1,2,\ldots$$

In this basis we have the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^1 f^{m_2}_{m_1} &= \sqrt{m_1} f^{m_2}_{m_1-1}, \quad \bar{\varphi}_1 f^{m_2}_{m_1} = \sqrt{m_1+1} f^{m_2}_{m_1+1}, \\ \varphi^2 f^{m_2}_{m_1} &= \sqrt{m_2} f^{m_2-1}_{m_1}, \quad \bar{\varphi}_2 f^{m_2}_{m_1} = \sqrt{m_2+1} f^{m_2+1}_{m_1} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

from which it follows that the Fock representation describes a pair of standard oscillators having the same ground state f^0_0. The representation is denoted by us as $T_0(h_4)$.

An important characteristic of the representation of the algebra h_4 is that which representation of the algebra $su(2)$ giving by the operators $\vec{L} = \frac{1}{2} a^2 \vec{\sigma} a^1$ is realized in the representation space of the algebra h_4. In the Fock representation the operators $\vec{L}$ is of the following form

$$L_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left(z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \right), \quad L_+ = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \quad L_- = z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}.$$

In $\mathcal{F}_F$ they result in a representation of the algebra $su(2)$ in the form

$$\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{Z}_+} D(p/2). \tag{29}$$

Here $D(p/2)$ is a finite dimensional representation with spin $p/2$, which is realized in the subspace of homogeneous polinomials of a degree p in the form $\sum_{k=0}^p a_k z_1^k z_2^{p-k}$. The Casimir operator $\vec{L}^2 = L_0(L_0+1)$, where $L_0 = \frac{1}{2} a^2 a^1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \right)$, takes on values $\frac{1}{2} p(\frac{1}{2} p + 1)$ on such polinomials. Hence, on $\mathcal{F}_F$ the representation $su(2)$ is completely reducible to its finite dimensional representations.

A representation of the wider, Lorentz algebra $sl(2,\mathbf{C})$ giving by the operators $\vec{L}$, $\vec{N}$, where $\vec{N}=\frac{i}{2}(a^2\vec{\sigma}\varepsilon a^2+a^1\varepsilon\vec{\sigma}a^1)$, is characterized by the following values of the Casimir operators: $C=\vec{L}^2-\vec{N}^2=-\frac{3}{4}$, $C'=\vec{L}\vec{N}=0$. On $\mathcal{F}_F$ these operators set the representation $[\frac{1}{2},0]\oplus[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ [13] where $[\frac{1}{2},0]$, $[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ are the well-known infinite dimensional Majorana representations of the group $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ [14].

2) The representation constructed by us for algebra h_4 (25) first differs from the Fock one by being given in the space of functions of one complex variable ζ instead of two. Moreover it differs by on the space $\mathbf{F}_\zeta^{(\lambda)}$ a representation (generated by it) of the algebra $su(2)$ (giving by the operator $\vec{L}=\frac{1}{2}a^2\vec{\sigma}a^1$) being built of infinite dimensional semispinor representations $D^+(\lambda)$ of the algebra $su(2)$ under the following formula [9]:

$$\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}} D^+(\lambda+p/2)\,. \tag{30}$$

The representation $D^+(\lambda+p/2)$ is realized in the subspace $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}$ and is given by the operators $\vec{L}^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}$ being a restriction of the operators $\vec{L}$ on the subspace $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}$: $\vec{L}^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}=\vec{L}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}}=\frac{1}{2}\,{}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}\vec{\sigma}\varphi$ where φ, $\bar{\varphi}$ are defined by the formulae (25), (26), whence it follows that

$$L_3^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}=\zeta\frac{d}{d\zeta}-(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}),\quad L_+^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}=\zeta,\quad L_-^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}=-\zeta\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2}+2(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})\frac{d}{d\zeta} \tag{31}$$

being $\left(\vec{L}^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}\right)^2=\lambda(\lambda+1)$. As is seen, we deal here with a non-Lie realization (differential operators of the second order; compare with the Fock realization, the formulae (27) – (29)). The Cartan-Weyl basis in $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}$ is formed by functions

$$f_{m(\zeta)}^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}=(i\zeta)^m/\sqrt{m!\,\Gamma(m-2\lambda-p)}\,,\quad m=0,1,2,\ldots,\quad p\in\mathbf{Z} \tag{32}$$

where Γ is the Euler function normalized by the condition

$$\langle f_m^{(\bar{\lambda})}\,,f_{m'}^{(\lambda)}\rangle_\lambda=(-1)^m\delta_{mm'}$$

where

$$\langle f,g\rangle_\lambda=\int\overline{f(\zeta)}\,Ig(\zeta)\,d\mu_\lambda(\zeta), \tag{33}$$

$Ig(\zeta)=g(-\zeta)$, and

$$d\mu_\lambda(\zeta)=\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{1}{|\zeta|^{2\lambda+1}}K_{2\lambda+1}(2|\zeta|)\,d\zeta\wedge d\bar{\zeta} \tag{34}$$

(K_a is the Macdonald function, this measure is a generalisation of the Gauss measure on the case of any spin λ) is an $su(2)$-invariant sesquilinear form on

$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}$ determined in [15]: $\langle f^{(\bar\lambda)}\,,\vec{L}^{(\lambda)}g^{(\lambda)}\rangle_\lambda = \langle \vec{L}^{(\bar\lambda)}f^{(\bar\lambda)}\,,g^{(\lambda)}\rangle_\lambda$. Thus the operators $\vec{L}^{(\bar\lambda)}$ answering a complex conjugate spin $\bar\lambda$, set a conjugate representation $D^+(\bar\lambda)$ in the dual space $\mathcal{F}_{\bar\lambda}$. It is necessary to notice that the form (33) is not invariant under transformations $su^c(2) = su(2) + i\,su(2)$.

On the whole space $\mathbf{F}_\zeta^{(\lambda)}$ the sesquilinear form is given by the sum

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f^{(\bar\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}\,, g^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}\rangle_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}} \tag{35}$$

where $g^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}$ is a projection of g in the space $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}$. Thus, it is obvious that $\langle f, \vec{L}g\rangle = \langle \vec{L}f, g\rangle$. Moreover, the form (35) is invariant under the wider algebra $sp(2,\mathbf{R})$, generators of which are $I_{\mu\nu} = (\vec{L}, \vec{N})$, $\Gamma_\mu = (\vec{\Gamma}, \Gamma_0)$ where $\vec{N} = \frac{i}{4}(a^1\varepsilon\vec{\sigma}a^1 + a^2\vec{\sigma}\varepsilon a^2)$, $\vec{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{4}(a^1\varepsilon\vec{\sigma}a^1 - a^2\vec{\sigma}\varepsilon a^2)$, $\Gamma_0 = L_0 + \frac{1}{2}$, and $L_0 = \frac{1}{2}a^2a^1 = \frac{1}{2}N$ such that $\vec{L}^2 = L_0(L_0+1)$. In the given representation the Casimir operators have the same values $C = \vec{L}^2 - \vec{N}^2 = -\frac{3}{4}\,, C' = \vec{L}\vec{N} = 0\,, \Gamma_\mu^2 = \vec{\Gamma}^2 - \Gamma_0^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, as in the Fock representation, though the representations, as we see, are different. The point is that values of the Casimir operators do not define a representation of the algebra $sl(2,\mathbf{R})$ completely. The spectrum of the operator L_0, which consists of points $Sp\,L_0 = \{\lambda + \frac{p}{2}\}_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}$ on the space $\mathbf{F}_\zeta^{(\lambda)}$, is important too, while on the space $\mathcal{F}_F$ it is formed by points $Sp\,L_0 = \{\frac{p}{2}\}_{p\in\mathbf{Z}_+}$. The representation of the algebra $sl(2,\mathbf{C})$ on $\mathbf{F}_\zeta^{(\lambda)}$ is denoted as $[\frac{1}{2},0]^+ \oplus [0,\frac{1}{2}]^+$ where $[\frac{1}{2},0]^+$ is an infinite dimensional "tail" of the Majorana representation $[\frac{1}{2},0]$ unequivalent to the Majorana representation. By a restriction of $sl(2,\mathbf{C}) \supset su(2)$ the given representation breaks up on irreducible semispinor representations $su(2)$ under the formula (30).

At last we mention one more remark. Let us denote $f_m^\mu = f_m^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}$ to put $\mu = 2\lambda + p - m$. In this basis the operators a_α^{a} are given by the formulae [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^1 f_m^\mu &= \sqrt{m} f_{m_1-1}^\mu\,, \quad a_1^2 f_m^\mu = \sqrt{m+1} f_{m+1}^\mu\,, \\ a_2^1 f_m^\mu &= \sqrt{\mu} f_m^{\mu-1}\,, \quad a_2^2 f_m^\mu = \sqrt{\mu+1} f_m^{\mu+1}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

from which it follows that the operators $(a_1^1\,, a_1^2)$ are related to the standard oscillator (there is a ground state, as $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$), while $(a_2^1\,, a_2^2)$ apply to the non-standard oscillator (a ground state is not present, as $p = 0, \pm1, \pm2, \ldots$). The representation h_4 is denoted by us as $T_1(h_4)$ and refers to as non-Fock one. A representation, in which both oscillators are non-standard, is denoted by $T_2(h_4)$.

Now it is possible to say definitely that the representations $T_0(h_4)$ and $T_1(h_4)$ are not equivalent (Statement 3).

In general the algebra h_{2n} has $n+1$ representations $T_k(h_{2n})$ (unequivalent among themselves) where $k\,(0 \leq k \leq n)$ is the number of non-standard oscillators.

We shall give yet a precise definition of decycling for the Fock representation $T_0(h_{2n})$. The maximal decycling is understood to be a passage from the Fock representation $T_0(h_{2n})$ of the algebra h_{2n} to the non-Fock representation $T_n(h_{4n})$ of the algebra h_{4n} in twice of greater dimension.

3) At the end of this section we shall stop on the description of semispinor representations of the algebra $su(2)$, its complex expansions $su^c(2)$ and also the group $SU^c(2)$ as a whole. As we already noticed, in the direct sum (25) each of subspaces $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is invariant under the enveloping algebra $U[\vec{L}^{(\lambda)}]$ and, hence, $su^c(2)$-invariantly (in $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ generators of $su^c(2)$ are the operators $(\vec{L}^{(\lambda)}\,, i\vec{L}^{(\lambda)})$, as the semispinor representation $(\lambda,0)^+ = [\lambda,\lambda+1]^+$ of the algebra $su^c(2)$, called analytical one, is realized in $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ as the representation $\oplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}[\lambda+\frac{p}{2},\lambda+\frac{p}{2}+1]^+$ in $\mathbf{F}_\zeta$). A complexification of the algebra $su(2)$ is important in the connection with a problem of relativization of spin [16]. Semispinor representations $(\lambda,0)^+$ of the algebra $su^c(2)$ are representations spontaneously breaking symmetry of the group $SU^c(2)$ as a whole. Indeed, we shall now see that the Lie algebra $(\vec{L}^{(\lambda)}\,, i\vec{L}^{(\lambda)})$ generates not representations of the group $SU^c(2)$, but only ones of its open subgroups connected with the Gauss decomposition N_+HN_- of this group.

As is known, any regular element $v = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ $(\delta \neq 0)$ of the group $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ allows the decomposition $v = n_+hn_-$ where

$$n_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\frac{\beta}{\delta}\sigma_+), \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \exp(-\sigma_3 \ln\delta),$$

$$n_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma/\delta & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\sigma_-),$$

and $\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. If to remove singular elements $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta'$ from $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$, $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ will break up on two open Borel subgroups $B_+ = N_+H \ni \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & \beta \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ and $B_- = HN_- \ni \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & 0 \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ which are crossed through the subgroup H of diagonal matrices forming the Gaussian area B_+B_-. The matrices $\sigma_+\,,\sigma_-\,,\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3$ are generators of subgroups $N_+\,,N_-\,,H$. The representations in question are given by mapping (homomorphism) T_λ : $\frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} \to {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}\frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}\varphi = \vec{L}^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}$ where φ, ${}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}$ are defined by the formulae (25), (26), and $\vec{L}^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2})}$ are by the formulae (31). The operators $\vec{L}^{(\lambda)}$ set the semispinor

representation $D^+(\lambda)$ of the algebra $su(2)$ (or the representation $(\lambda,0)^+$ of the algebra $su^c(2)$) in the space $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$.

Consider first the subgroup B_+. As T_λ is a homomorphism, we have $T_\lambda(b_+) = T_\lambda(n_+)T_\lambda(h)$ where [9]

$$T_\lambda(h) = e^{-T_\lambda(\sigma_3)\ln\delta} = e^{-2L_3^{(\lambda)}\ln\delta}, \quad T_\lambda(n_+) = e^{T_\lambda(\sigma_+)\frac{\beta}{\delta}} = e^{\frac{\beta}{\delta}L_+^{(\lambda)}} = e^{\frac{\beta}{\delta}\zeta}. \tag{37}$$

As $L_3^{(\lambda)}\zeta^n = (n-\lambda)\zeta^n$ (see (31)),

$$T_\lambda(b_+)f(\zeta) = \delta^{2\lambda}e^{\frac{\beta}{\delta}\zeta}\, f(\frac{\zeta}{\delta^2}) \tag{38}$$

where $f(\zeta)\in\mathcal{F}_\lambda$. From (38) it follows that a class of holomorphic functions of a complex variable ζ of order $\rho\le 1$ and type $0\le\tau<\infty$ is invariant under the action of operators $T_\lambda(b_+)$. This class allows a topologization transforming it into the space of generalized functions of exponential type Φ' (see a definition of the space Φ' in [17]). By definition, we have $\Phi' = \overline{U[L_+^{(\lambda)}]f_0^{(\lambda)}}^{\tau_{\Phi'}}$ where $f_0^{(\lambda)}=1$ is the lowest Cartan-Weyl vector of the representation $D^+(\lambda)$ (a cyclic vector for $U[L_+^{(\lambda)}]$), and $\tau_{\Phi'}$ is a topology of the space Φ' determined in [17].

The space Φ' is determined so that a B_+-orbit of any vector $f\in\Phi'$ (including any vector of the Cartan-Weyl basis $f_n^{(\lambda)}$) wholly lies in Φ', as $e^{\tau L_+^{(\lambda)}}f(\zeta) = e^{\tau\zeta}f(\zeta)\in\Phi'\,(|\tau|<\infty)$. In Φ' a representation of the (solvable) group B_+ is incompletely reducible, as in Φ' there exists a compositional series $\Phi' = \Phi'_0\supset\Phi'_1\supset\cdots\supset\Phi'_N\supset\cdots\supset 0$ where $\Phi'_N = \overline{\oplus_{n=N}^\infty f_n^{(\lambda)}}^{\tau_{\Phi'}}$ are B_+-invariant subspaces. The operators $T_\lambda(b_+)$ set (in $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$) the exact representation of the universal covering $\bar{B}_+ = N_+\bar{H}$ where $\bar{H}$ is an universal covering for H.

We now turn to subgroup B_-. As

$$e^{\tau L_-^{(\lambda)}}\zeta^n = n!\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^n L_n^{(-2\lambda-1)}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\tau}\right) \tag{39}$$

($L_n^{(\lambda)}$ is Laguerre polinomials [18]), in the linear system $l.s.\{f_n^{(\lambda)}\}$ there is a flag from finite dimensional subspaces: $0\subset\Phi^0\subset\Phi^1\subset\cdots\subset\Phi^N\subset\cdots\subset U[L_+^{(\lambda)}]\Phi^0$ where $\Phi^N = \oplus_{n=0}^N f_n^{(\lambda)}$ are B_--invariant subspaces. Hence, an incompletely reducible representation $B_-\to T_\lambda(b_-)$ of the group B_- is realized in $l.s.\{f_n^{(\lambda)}\}$. It is not difficult to obtain that $l.s.\{f_n^{(\lambda)}\}$ allows a B_--invariant closure up to the space Φ of trial functions of exponential type containing holomorphic functions of a complex variable ζ of order $\rho<1$ and type $0\le\tau<\infty$ (see a definition of spaces Φ in [17]). Indeed, from the definition of Φ' as a space of linear continuous functionals on the space Φ

$$f(\varphi) = \langle f,\varphi\rangle_\lambda \tag{40}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\lambda$ is defined by the formula (33), and from properties of $\vec{L}^{(\lambda)}$-invariance of this functional it follows that $\langle\Phi', e^{\tau L_-^{(\lambda)}}\Phi\rangle = \langle e^{\bar{\tau} L_+^{(\bar{\lambda})}}\Phi', \Phi\rangle$. As for any finite τ we have $e^{\bar{\tau} L_+^{(\bar{\lambda})}}\Phi' \subset \Phi'$, $e^{\tau L_-^{(\lambda)}}\Phi \subset \Phi$.

It is interesting to observe that so-called coherent states $f_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(\zeta) = {}_0F_1(-2\lambda; -\tau\zeta)$ satisfying the equation $L_-^{(\lambda)} f_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(\zeta) = \sigma f_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(\zeta)$, $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$ belong to the space Φ. On Φ the operators $T_\lambda(b_-)$ set the exact representation of the universal covering $\bar{B}_-{=}\bar{H}N_-$.

Further, it is clear that the operators $T_\lambda(N_+)$ are not determined on the space Φ . As any vector $f \in \Phi$ is removed at once by the operator $T_\lambda(n_+) = e^{\zeta\frac{\beta}{\delta}}$ $(\beta \neq 0)$ from Φ. In particular it takes place the formula

$$T_\lambda(v)\zeta^n = n!\delta^{2\lambda}\left(-\frac{\gamma}{2\delta}\right)^n L_n^{(-2\lambda-1)}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\gamma\delta}\right)e^{\frac{\beta}{\delta}\zeta}$$

following from (39) and (38). On the other hand, any vector from Φ' not belonging to the subset $\Phi \subset \Phi'$ can be removed by the operator $T_\lambda(n_-)$ from Φ'. Indeed, from the formula

$$T_\lambda(n_-)e^{\zeta\tau} = (\gamma\tau+1)^{2\lambda}e^{\zeta\frac{\tau}{\tau\gamma+1}}$$

or from the more general formula $T_\lambda(n_-)\Phi e^{\zeta\tau} = \Phi T_\lambda(n_-)e^{\zeta\tau}$ it follows that for $\gamma = -\frac{1}{\tau}$ the vector $T_\lambda(n_-)e^{\zeta\tau} \notin \Phi'$. Thus, on Φ' there is no representation (as linear one) of the group N_- in the usual sense.

Actually, a non-von Neuman representation (see its definition in [19]) of the group of one-dimensional chains $\widetilde{N}_-$ (and also the group of one-dimensional chains $\widetilde{SL}\ (2,\mathbf{C})$, see its definition in [20]) which certainly is not any manifold (group $N_\mp$ as well as $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ is simply connected) is realized in Φ'. Thus, remaining in the framework of the topological vector space Φ' , it will be impossible to close the area B_+B_- to obtain the group $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ and its representation in Φ'. From the formula

$$T_\lambda(v)e^{\zeta\tau} = (\gamma\tau+\delta)^{2\lambda}e^{\zeta\frac{\alpha\tau+\beta}{\gamma\tau+\delta}}, \quad v = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbf{C}) \tag{41}$$

it follows that in Φ' we have representations of the group of one-dimensional chains $\widetilde{SL}\ (2,\mathbf{C})$ not satisfying the von Neuman axioma about existence of a general (dense in Φ') domain of definition for all the operators $T_\lambda(v)$. From (41) it follows that the area is trivial, i. e. $\cap_{v\in SL(2,\mathbf{C})}D_{T_\lambda(v)} = 0$; therefore, corresponding representations were called by non-von Neuman ones [19]. Non-von Neuman representations of topological groups are a subject of the special discussion which we shall not touch upon here.

So, the non-Fock representation of the algebra h_4 builded in the dual pair of topological vector spaces (Φ', Φ) is generated such a representation of its group of automorphisms $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ which breaks this group symmetry: a nature of the representation is such that the complete symmetry is spontaneously lowered up to the symmetry of open subgroups B_+ and B_- connected with the Gauss decomposition, and the group $B_+ = N_+H$ can be represented only in the space Φ', and $B_- = HN_-$ is only in Φ. The operators $L_\pm = L_1 \pm iL_2$ and $\vec{\Gamma} \pm i\vec{N}$ are generators of subgroups $N_\pm$, and L_3 and Γ_0 are generators of H. Thus, semispinors are objects with a restricted symmetry. And there are two kinds of semispinors: semispinors having symmetry of the group B_+ (associated with the Gauss decomposition N_+HN_- and the pair of spaces (Φ', Φ)) and semispinors having symmetry of group B_- (assiciated with another Gauss decomposition N_-HN_+ and another pair of spaces (Φ, Φ')). Both both the pairs of spaces and both the Gauss decompositions are connected by the time reflection operator T for which formulae are read from the right on the left. So only at the level of semispinors we have an opportunity to break both T-symmetry and CP-symmetry which are impossible to break at a level of spinors and spinor fields (see [21]).

V. Extended Fock representation of the algebra h_4. Additional variables

1) The non-Fock representation $T_1(h_4)$ proves to be in some sense by an expansion of the Fock representation $T_0(h_4)$ for the same algebra, i. e. $T_0(h_4) \subset T_1(h_4)$.

Statement 4 *The representation $T_1(h_4)$ is interlaced with the extended Fock representation of the algebra h_4 which, as well as the Fock representation, is given by the operators $a^1_\alpha = \partial/\partial z_\alpha\,, a^2_\alpha = z_\alpha\,(\alpha = 1, 2)$, but is realized in the space $\mathbf{F} = \mathcal{F}_F \otimes \mathcal{F}_0$ where $\mathcal{F}_F$ is a space of the Fock representation $T_0(h_4)$ formed by functions of two complex variables $z_1\,, z_2$, and $\mathcal{F}_0$ is a space of functions depending on an additional variable $z \doteq z_2$ (in this case $\partial/\partial z \doteq \partial/\partial z_2$) having non-standard transformational properties.*

The existence of additional variables in $T_1(h_4)$ is connected to the existence of two various units on the space $\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda)}_\zeta$. This becomes obviously if $\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda)}_\zeta$ to write

down as a column

$$\mathbf{F}_{\zeta}^{(\lambda)} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{42}$$

Then one of units which we shall denote by $\mathbf{1}$ is represented by unity diagonal matrix infinite in all parties

$$\mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & 1 & & 0 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \tag{43}$$

(a warning: this unit should not be mixed up with the unit of the basic field of scalars, which enters in the right part of commutation relations (22) and under which it follows to understand $\mathbf{1}^0$). In (43) the unit 1 as an operator on the subspace $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ will be invariant under transformations from the group $SU(2)$ [9, 10]:

$$T_\lambda(u) \cdot 1 \cdot T_\lambda^{-1}(u) = 1\,, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2) \tag{44}$$

where the operators $\vec{L}^{(\lambda)}$ (31) are generators of $T_\lambda(u)$. Thus, the unit $\mathbf{1}$ is an $SU(2)$-scalar (it is a scalar under transformations of $U(2)$ and $U^c(2)$ too).

Another unit is the second component of the spinor a_k^1 (see the formulae (21), (25)). And if the space $\mathbf{F}_{\zeta}^{(\lambda)}$ is written down as (42), this unit is represented by a matrix in which units are displaced (in comparison with the matrix (43)) on one step in the right top corner

$$a_2^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & 0 & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \tag{45}$$

The matrix too is possible to consider as diagonal one: you see, in the case the concept of diagonal is rather conditional (the first element is not in (42)). So both matrices $\mathbf{1}$ and a_2^1 are actually indistinguishable. However in the case of a_2^1 the unit 1 in (45) as a operator on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ will be transformed absolutly under

another law, namely, [9, 10]:

$$T_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(u)\cdot 1\cdot T_{\lambda}^{-1}(u)=-\bar{\beta}\frac{d}{d\zeta}+\bar{\alpha}\cdot 1 \tag{46}$$

following from (26). An equality of values having different transformational properties denotes as

$$a_2^1 \doteq \mathbf{1} \quad . \tag{47}$$

An analogous situation takes place in the case of Dirac matrices $\beta \doteq \gamma_4$ where β enters into the definition of the Dirac conjugate bispinor $\bar{\psi}=\psi^{+}\beta$ and is transformed under the formula $S^{+}\beta S=\beta$, while γ_4 being by the fourth component of a vector γ_μ will be transformed under the law $S^{-1}\gamma_4 S=L_{4\mu}\gamma_\mu$. The operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

are marked, too, by the ambiguity specified above. This means that in the non-unitary theory there are neither projectors nor any quantum logic (compare with [3]).

If now to pass from the ζ-realization (25) to the Fock z-realization with the help of the transformation [9]

$$f(\zeta)=\int K(\zeta;\bar{z}_1\,,\bar{z}_2)\,f(z_1\,,z_2)\,d\mu(z_1\,,z_2)=\hat{K}\;f(z_1\,,z_2) \tag{48}$$

where $d\mu(z_1\,,z_2)$ is the Gauss measure on $\mathbf{C}^2$ (see (8)), and (compare the structure K with the structure of the space $\mathbf{F}_\zeta$ (25))

$$K(\zeta;\bar{z}_1\,,\bar{z}_2)=\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}\bar{z}_2^{2\lambda+p}e^{\zeta\frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_2}} \tag{49}$$

(The operator $\hat{K}$ in (48) possesses the property

$$\hat{K}\;a_\alpha^{\mathrm{a}}(z)=a_\alpha^{\mathrm{a}}(\zeta)\;\hat{K} \tag{50}$$

and refers to as interlacing one. Here $a_\alpha^{\mathrm{a}}(z)$ are defined by the formula (27), and $a_\alpha^{\mathrm{a}}(\zeta)$ are by the formula (25)), by virtue of the diagram (here in accordance with (48) we have written down $\hat{K}$ as $\hat{K}=K\circ d\mu$ and have taken into account that $\bar{z}_\alpha\,d\mu=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha}\,d\mu$, and T is the mapping of a cotangent space in a tangent

space)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_1^1(\zeta) & \stackrel{T}{\rightarrow} & a_1^2(\zeta) \\ \uparrow K & & \uparrow K \\ \bar{z}_1 & \stackrel{T}{\rightarrow} & \partial/\partial\bar{z}_1 \\ \uparrow d\mu & & \uparrow d\mu \\ \partial/\partial z_1 & \stackrel{T}{\leftarrow} & z_1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccccc} a_2^2(\zeta) & \stackrel{T}{\leftarrow} & a_2^1(\zeta) & \doteq & \mathbf{1} & \rightarrow & ? \\ \uparrow K & & \uparrow K & & & & \uparrow K \\ \partial/\partial\bar{z}_2 & \stackrel{T}{\leftarrow} & \bar{z}_2 & \doteq & \bar{z} & \rightarrow & \partial/\partial\bar{z} \\ \uparrow d\mu & & \uparrow d\mu & & \uparrow d\mu & & \uparrow d\mu \\ z_2 & \stackrel{T}{\rightarrow} & \partial/\partial z_2 & \doteq & \partial/\partial z & \stackrel{T}{\leftarrow} & z \end{array} \qquad (51)$$

the equality (47) will cause existence of the variable $z\doteq z_2$ being by an $SU(2)$-scalar, as well as $\mathbf{1}$.

Statement 5 *If $f(\bar{z}_2$ is a function, $f(\bar{z}_2)\,K = C\,K$ where K is defined by the formula (49), and $C = f(1)$[22].*

It follows from this that K has a non-trivial kernel: $\mathrm{Ker}\,K = \{f(\bar{z}_2)|f(1) = 0\}$*, therefore $\hat{K}$ does not have the inverse (so, indeed, $\hat{K}$ is a interlacing operator).*

Statement 6 *The factor space $\mathbf{F}_\zeta^{(\lambda)}/\mathrm{Ker}\,K$ is isomorphic to the space $\mathbf{F}_\zeta^{(\lambda)}$. Hence, we have $\mathcal{F}_F \subset \mathbf{F}_\zeta^{(\lambda)} \subset \mathbf{F}_z$.*

Consequence *The non-standard oscillator is equivalent to the double standard oscillator described by variables $z_2\,, \partial/\partial z_2$ and $z\doteq z_2\,, \partial/\partial z\doteq\partial/\partial z_2$.*

This statement is almost a trivial consequence of the Zermelo theorem (or the axioma of choice): it follows from an opportunity of complete ordering of the set $\mathbf{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, which is written down as $\mathbf{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots; -1, -2, \ldots\} = \{\mathbf{Z}_+\,, \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_+\}$ where $\mathbf{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_+ = -\{\mathbf{Z}_+\backslash 0\}$. Here as states of the standard oscillator number by the set $\mathbf{Z}_+$ as states of the second oscillator by the set $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_+$ (states with negative occupation numbers) which are described states of physical vacuum. However the fact that the second oscillator has trivial transformational properties is a non-trivial result at all.

It is necessary to notice that the physical vacuum (as a ground state of the World) was postulated by Heisenberg in his time [23].

Thus, it is possible to write down that $T_1(h_4) \sim T_0(h_4) + T_0(h_2)$, and the space of the extended Fock representation to write down as $\mathbf{F} = \overline{U[z_1\,, z_2]\mathcal{F}_0}^{\,\tau}$ where the space of additional variables $\mathcal{F}_0$ plays a role of a cyclic subspace (as is known, the space of the Fock representation is generated by a unique cyclic vector, by the mathematical vacuum 1, therefore $\mathcal{F}_F = \overline{U[z_1\,, z_2]\cdot 1}^{\,\tau}$).

In general we shall have $T_k(h_{2n}) \sim T_0(h_{2n}) + T_0(h_{2k})$ where h_{2k} is a subalgebra in h_{2n}, so $T_k(h_{2n})$ contains k additional variables.

It is interesting to notice that the existence of an additional variable, the $SU^c(2)$-scalar $z\doteq z_2$, was felt for a long time. It is enough to look at the formulae

which set representations of the group $SU(2)$ in the class of functions depending on the projective variable z_1/z_2 (for example, see [24]). The pure geometrical proof of existence of an $SU(2)$-scalar is given in [19]. However here we are not stopped on the proof.

2) In the propounded theory the additional variables play a role of hidden parameters which for a long time and unsuccessfully try to enter into the quantum theory (an introduction of them is forbidden by the well-known von Neuman theorem [3]). Nevertheless an introduction of additional variables proves to be possible. However, it is connected with a radical reorganization of the Heisenberg-Schrödinger quantum theory: it turns from the unitary theory in non-unitary one. Moreover a sense of hidden variables is absolutly other: they are not additional variables of usual quantum objects (as they were understood by de Broglie [25]), and variables of the absolutly other physical object — the dynamical system generating usual quantum objects (elementary particles). And it will be shown further.

If to write down the formula of spaces $\mathbf{F}_{\zeta}^{(\lambda)} = \hat{K}(\mathcal{F}_F \otimes \mathcal{F}_0)$ interlaced by the operator $\hat{K}$ for representations of the group $SU(2)$, which is realized in these spaces, we shall obtain the relation (compare with (50))

$$\left(\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}} D^+(\lambda+\tfrac{p}{2})\right)\hat{K}=\hat{K}\left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{Z}_+} D(\tfrac{n}{2})\otimes D_h(0)\right) \tag{52}$$

where $D(\frac{n}{2})$ are finite dimensional representations realized in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_F$, and $D_h(0)$ is the non-trivial unity representation realized in the space of additional variables $\mathcal{F}_0$. It is necessary to pay attention on the fact that the relation (52) is carried out at any λ with the exception of integer or half-integer numbers.

As is proved in [26], the semispinor representation $D^+(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \neq \frac{n}{2}$) of the algebra $su(2)$ is irreducible algebraically and topologically . Hence, it is not equivalent to the well-known finite dimensional representations of this algebra. However the infinite system of semispinor representations is equivalent to an infinite system of spinor representations (the formula (52)). This fact is of fundamental importance for physics: the formula (52) describes how the complete symmetry of $SU(2)$ and $SU^c(2)$ (inherent to finite dimensional objects — spinors) arises of the spontaneously broken symmetry inherent to semispinors — infinite dimensional objects (see section IV).

However, in spite of the symmetry of the group $SU(2)$ being restored, the complete symmetry of the group $Sp(2,\mathbf{R})$ in the space of the extended Fock representation remains still broken. The breaking is caused by a topologization of representation space (to put it more exactly, by the topology of the dual pair

of spaces (Φ', Φ)): a representation of the subgroup $e^{i\vec{\theta}(\vec{\Gamma}+i\vec{N})}$ is realized only in the space of generalized functions of exponential type Φ', while a representation of another subgroup $e^{i\vec{\theta}(\vec{\Gamma}-i\vec{N})}$ is in Φ. It is interesting to notice that if to reject the non-trivial unity representation $D_h(0)$ we shall come to the unitary theory. From this it follows such a conclusion that from the point of view of the non-unitary theory the unitary theory is not complete. The latter gives the description of quantum objects only, while the non-unitary theory gives the joint description of quantum and subquantum objects (the subquantum object is understood to be the physical vacuum related to the space $\mathcal{F}_0$).

3) It is interesting also to notice that the additional variable is associated with splitting of the phase transformation $U(1) = e^{i\alpha L_0}$. Indeed, on the one hand, it should be $L_0 z = 0$, as z is an $SU(2)$-scalar, and, hence, $\vec{L}z = 0$ and $\vec{L}^2 z = L_0(L_0+1)z = 0$. The operator L_0 is denoted by $L_0^{(l)}$. On the other hand, z cannot be an $(\vec{N}, \vec{\Gamma})$-scalar, as conditions $\vec{N}z = \vec{\Gamma}z = 0$ $\Gamma_0 z = \frac{1}{2}z$ are not joint with values of the Casimir operators $\vec{L}^2 - \vec{N}^2 = -\frac{3}{4}$ $\vec{\Gamma}^2 - \Gamma_0^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. z must behave under these transformations as z_2, i. e. (as $z \doteq z_2$) it should be $L_0 z = \frac{1}{2}z$. The operator L_0 is denoted by $L_0^{(i)}$. Thus, we have $L_0^{(l)} z = 0$ and $L_0^{(i)} z = \frac{1}{2}z$. In this connection it should be to put that $\vec{L}^2 = L_0^{(l)}(L_0^{(l)}+1)$, $\vec{N}^2 = L_0^{(l)}(L_0^{(l)}+1) + \frac{3}{4}$ and $\Gamma_0 = L_0^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2}$ $\vec{\Gamma}^2 = (L_0^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{2} = L_0^{(i)}(L_0^{(i)}+1) + \frac{3}{4}$. The contradiction specified above is completely removed.

It is resonable to attach the phase transformation $e^{i\alpha L_0^{(l)}}$ to $e^{i\vec{L}\vec{\theta}}$ by expanding, thus, the group $SU(2)$ up to $U(2)$ and to add the transformation $e^{i\vec{\alpha}\vec{\Gamma}}$ by the transformation $e^{-i\alpha_0\Gamma_0}$ up to the transformation $e^{i\alpha_\mu\Gamma_\mu}$. Note that an analogous splitting of phase transformation was postulated by Heisenberg [23] in his theory of a ground state of the World.

VI. Non-Fock representation $T_2(h_8^{(*)})$ of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$

1) The algebra h_4, appeared for the first time in Majorana's work [14] in connection with the known equations that now bear his name (see also [27]), is unsufficient from the physical point of view for many reasons. First, though the theory of representations of this algebra allows to build relativistic (but Majorana's) 4-spinors under the formula $\psi_k = \langle \dot{f}, \phi_k f \rangle$ where $\phi_k = \binom{a_k^1}{a_k^2}$, however it does not allow to obtain fields $\psi(X)$ on the space-time $\mathbf{A_{3,1}}$ if not to postulate their existence of the space $\mathbf{A_{3,1}}$. Certainly, it is a serious lack. And the point is that the group of automorphisms $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ of the algebra h_4, locally isomorphic to the de Sitter group $SO(3,2)$ which acts in the Majorana fiber $S_4 \ni \psi$, contains neither the Poincaré group $\mathcal{P}$ nor the translation group with

which only it would be possible to connect (not to postulate) the existence of the space $\mathbf{A_{3,1}}$ (nevertheless Dirac particles are necessary rather than Majorana ones in particle theory). Secondly, a representation of the subalgebra $sl(2,\mathbf{C})$ (generated in $\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda)}_{\zeta}$ by the operators $\vec{L}\,,\vec{N}$, see section III), as well as a representation of the algebra $sp(1,\mathbf{C})$ in the space $\mathcal{F}_F$ (see section II) is a cycle of two representations $[\frac{1}{2}\,,0]^+ \oplus [0,\frac{1}{2}]^+$ which do not form a complete system. The decycling results in an infinite complete system of real semispinor representations of the group $SU^c(2) \approx SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ of the following (an SU^c-analogue of the formula (30) [28])

$$\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}\bigoplus_{q\in\mathbf{Z}}(\lambda+\tfrac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\tfrac{q}{2})^+ \tag{53}$$

(real representations $(\lambda\,,\lambda') = (\lambda\,,0)^+ + \overline{(\bar{\lambda}'\,,0)^+}$ where $\overline{(\bar{\lambda}'\,,0)^+} = (0,\lambda')$ is an antianalytical spinor representation are investigated in [29, 30]). The space of representation (53) is written down analogously (an analogue of the formula (25))

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda\,,\lambda')}_{\zeta\,,\bar{\zeta}} = \bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}\bigoplus_{q\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2}} \quad . \tag{54}$$

Now together with the operators $\varphi^{\alpha}\,,\ {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_{\alpha}$ (25) having properties

$$\varphi^{\alpha}\,:\,\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}\rightarrow\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p-1}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}\,;\quad {}^{(p)}\bar{\varphi}_{\alpha}\,:\,\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}\rightarrow\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p+1}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}$$

it is necessary to consider also the complex conjugate operators $\varphi^{*\,\dot{\alpha}}\,,\ {}^{(q)}\bar{\varphi}^{*}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ (spinors with dotted indices) having properties

$$\varphi^{*\,\dot{\alpha}}\,:\,\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}\rightarrow\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q-1}{2})}\,;\quad {}^{(q)}\bar{\varphi}^{*}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,:\,\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}\rightarrow\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q+1}{2})}$$

where $*$ is the complex conjugation. By analogue with (21) we shall enter in consideration of the operators a^{a}_{α} and $a^{\mathrm{a}\,*}_{\alpha}$. Their restrictions on subspaces $\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})} = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{p}{2}}\times\overline{\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda}'+\frac{q}{2}}}$ are the operators $\varphi\,,\bar{\varphi}\,,\varphi^*\,,\bar{\varphi}^*$ entered just now, and we shall consider the operators

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a^{2\,*}_{\alpha} \\ a^{1}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\phi} = \left(-a^{1\,*}_{\alpha},\, a^{2}_{\alpha}\right). \tag{55}$$

They satisfy commutation relations (they follow from commutation relations for a and a^*)

$$[\phi_{\alpha}\,,\bar{\phi}_{\beta}] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,,\quad [\phi_{\alpha}\,,\phi_{\beta}] = [\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}\,,\bar{\phi}_{\beta}] = 0 \tag{56}$$

which is defined the Heisenberg algebra with involution $h^{(*)}_8 = h_4 + h^*_4$. Its representation in the space $\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda\,,\lambda')}$ (54) is denoted by $T_2(h^{(*)}_8)$ and refers to as non-Fock one. A representation (connected to it) of the algebra $sl(2,\mathbf{C})$ (53) is quite obviously given by the operators $I_{\mu\nu} = \bar{\phi}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}\phi$ where $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4i}[\gamma_{\mu}\,,\gamma_{\nu}]$,

and γ_μ are Dirac matrices. Also it is obvious that the representation of $sl(2,\mathbf{C})$ is extended up to a representation of $gl(2,\mathbf{C})$ with the help of the operators $A=\bar{\phi}\phi$ and $B=\bar{\phi}\gamma_5\phi$. Proceeding from commutation relations (56), we come to relations

$$[I_{\mu\nu}\,,I_{\rho\sigma}]=\delta_{\nu\rho}I_{\mu\sigma}+\delta_{\mu\sigma}I_{\nu\rho}-\delta_{\mu\rho}I_{\nu\sigma}-\delta_{\nu\sigma}I_{\mu\rho}\,,$$
$$[I_{\mu\nu}\,,A]=[I_{\mu\nu}\,,B]=[A\,,B]=0\,.$$

So the relativization of spin (formula (53)) results in consideration of the Heisenberg algebra with involution $h_8^{(*)}$ which we was already obtained earlier in [1]. There the variables $\bar{\phi}$ were Dirac conjugate to ϕ , i. e. they were connected with ϕ by the formula $\bar{\phi}=\phi^+\beta$ where $\beta\doteq\gamma_4=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$ is the Dirac matrix, and $+$ is a conjugation with respect to a sesquilinear form which it will be necessary now to set on $\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda,\lambda')}$. For the operators a^a_α a condition of the Dirac conjugation means that $(a^1_\alpha)^+=-a^{1\,*}_\alpha$, and $a^{2\,+}_\alpha=a^{2\,*}_\alpha$ or (compare with the formula (9))

$$\langle\dot{f},a^1_\alpha f\rangle=-\langle a^{1\,*}_\alpha\dot{f},f\rangle,\quad\langle\dot{f},a^2_\alpha f\rangle=\langle a^{2\,*}_\alpha\dot{f},f\rangle \tag{57}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the mentioned above form on $f\in\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda,\lambda')}$, and $\dot{f}\in\dot{\mathbf{F}}^{(\lambda,\lambda')}$ is the space dual to $\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda,\lambda')}$ with respect to the form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. The form was determined in [30]. It is written down as

$$\langle\dot{f},g\rangle=\sum_{p,q=-\infty}^{\infty}\langle f^{(-\bar{\lambda}'-1-\frac{q}{2},-\bar{\lambda}-1-\frac{p}{2})}\,,g^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2},\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}\rangle \tag{58}$$

where $g^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2},\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}$ is a projection of g in the subspace $\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2},\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}$, $f^{(-\bar{\lambda}'-1-\frac{q}{2},-\bar{\lambda}-1-\frac{p}{2})}=\dot{f}^{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2},\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}$ is a projection of f in the subspace $\mathcal{F}_{(-\bar{\lambda}'-1-\frac{q}{2},-\bar{\lambda}-1-\frac{p}{2})}=\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{(\lambda+\frac{p}{2},\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})}$, in which is realized the representation $(-\bar{\lambda}'-1-\frac{q}{2}\,,-\bar{\lambda}-1-\frac{p}{2})^+$ dual to $(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})^+$ (as is shown in [30], a pair $(-\bar{\lambda}'-1\,,-\bar{\lambda}-1)$ is conjugate to another pair of numbers $(\lambda\,,\lambda')$), and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is given by the integral

$$\langle\dot{f}^{(\lambda,\lambda')}\,,g^{(\lambda,\lambda')}\rangle=\int\overline{\dot{f}^{(\lambda,\lambda')}(\zeta\,,\bar{\zeta})}\,Ig^{(\lambda,\lambda')}(\zeta\,,\bar{\zeta})\,d\mu(\zeta) \tag{59}$$

where $d\mu=\frac{i}{4\pi}d\zeta\wedge d\bar{\zeta}$ is an $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$-invariant measure on $\mathbf{C}$ and $Ig(\zeta,\bar{\zeta})=g(-\zeta,-\bar{\zeta})$[30].

The Hermitian property of operators $p_\mu=i\bar{\phi}\gamma_\mu P_+\phi$ and $\dot{p}_\mu=-i\bar{\phi}\gamma_\mu P_-\phi$ (they expand the algebra $gl(2,\mathbf{C})$ up to the algebra $u(2,2)$) is connected with the condition of Dirac conjugation of variables ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$ in the metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, i. e. $\langle p_\mu\dot{f},g\rangle=\langle\dot{f},p_\mu g\rangle$ (and similarly for $\dot{p}_\mu$). However to throw exponents e^{ixp} (or $e^{i\dot{x}\dot{p}}$) from left to right (or from the right on the left) in $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is not allowable because of the symmetry of the group $Sp^{(*)}(4,\mathbf{C})$ spontaneously broken (see [1], and also section IV).

It is easy to see that a special (non-Wigner) representation of the Lie algebra of the Poincaré group $\mathcal{P} = SL(2,\mathbf{C})\times)T_{3,1}$ (likewise for the group $\dot{\mathcal{P}} = SL(2,\mathbf{C})\times)\dot{T}_{3,1}$ represented in the dual space $\dot{\mathbf{F}}^{(\lambda\,,\lambda')}$) is realized on the space $\mathbf{F}^{(\lambda\,,\lambda')}$. First, it is necessary to notice that here all the generators of the group $\mathcal{P}$ (and they are $I_{\mu\nu}\,,p_\mu$) are vertical vectors (acting in a fiber, see [1]), in the Wigner representation $p_\mu = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial X_\mu}$ are horizontal vectors (they act in the base). Secondly, it is easy to see that in $\dot{\mathbf{F}}^{(\lambda\,,\lambda')}$ there is a flag from p_μ-invariant subspaces such that a solvable representation of the Lie algebra of the subgroup $T_{3,1}$ is realized in $\dot{\mathbf{F}}^{(\lambda\,,\lambda')}$ (the Wigner approach where there is the base $\mathbf{A}_{3,1}$ make use of irreducible representations of the group $T_{3,1}$).

2) The majority of statements for the non-Fock representation theory of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ copies statements of the algebra h_4. So, as well as in the case of the algebra h_4, the non-Fock representation of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ is interlaced with its extended Fock representation such that relations are fulfilled as

$$\phi(\zeta)\hat{K}=\hat{K}\phi(z)\,,\quad \bar{\phi}(\zeta)\hat{K}=\hat{K}\bar{\phi}(z) \tag{60}$$

in which the interlacing operator $\hat{K}$ is defined by the formula

$$f(\zeta\,,\bar{\zeta}) =\hat{K}f(z\,,\bar{z}) = \int K(\zeta\,,\bar{\zeta}\,;z\,,\bar{z})\,\overline{f(z\,,\bar{z})}\,d\mu(z)\,.$$

Here

$$K(\zeta\,,\bar{\zeta}\,;z\,,\bar{z}) = \left(\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}} \bar{z}_2^{2p+\lambda}\,e^{\zeta\frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_2}}\right)\times\left(\bigoplus_{q\in\mathbf{Z}} \bar{z}_2^{2q+\lambda'}\,e^{-\bar{\zeta}\frac{z_1}{z_2}}\right),$$

and $d\mu(z) = \left(\frac{i}{4\pi}\right)^2\prod_{\alpha=1,2} dz_\alpha\wedge d\bar{z}_\alpha$ is an $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$-invariant measure on the Lagrangian plane $L\ni(z_\alpha\,,\bar{z}_\alpha)$. In (60) the operators $\phi(\zeta)\,,\bar{\phi}(\zeta)$ are written down in the ζ-realization (55), (25), and $\phi(z)\,,\bar{\phi}(z)$ are in the z-realization, in which they have the same form, as well as in the Fock representation, i. e.

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix}\partial/\partial\bar{z}_\alpha\\ z_\alpha\end{pmatrix},\quad \bar{\phi} = \left(\bar{z}_\alpha\,,-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha}\right),\quad \alpha=1,2\,, \tag{61}$$

however, a representation space is the space

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathcal{F}_F\bigotimes\mathcal{F}_0 \tag{62}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_F$ is the Fock representation space formed by functions of complex variables $z_\alpha\,,\bar{z}_\alpha$, and $\mathcal{F}_0$ is the space of functions depending on additional variables $z\doteq z_2\,,\bar{z}\doteq\bar{z}_2$ which are $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$-scalars (the same structure has the dual space $\dot{\mathbf{F}}$). An $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$-invariant sesquilinear form on $\mathbf{F}$, connecting $\mathbf{F}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{F}}$ together in a dual pair of spaces, is defined by the formula [30]

$$\langle\dot{f},g\rangle = \int\overline{\dot{f}(z)}\,g(z)\,d\mu(z). \tag{63}$$

And the variables ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$ (61) satisfy relations (57) in which now $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is understood to be the form (63).

An analogue of the formula (52) will be

$$\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}\bigoplus_{q\in\mathbf{Z}}\left(\lambda+\tfrac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\tfrac{q}{2}\right)^{+}\hat{K}=\hat{K}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{Z}_+}\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbf{Z}_+}(\tfrac{n}{2}\,,\tfrac{m}{2})\right)\times(0,0)_h\right) \tag{64}$$

where $(\frac{n}{2}\,,\frac{m}{2})$ are finite dimensional (spinor) representations of the group $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ realized in $\mathcal{F}_F$, and $(0,0)_h$ is the non-trivial unity representation realized in $\mathcal{F}_0$. Here real semispinor representations $(\lambda+\frac{p}{2}\,,\lambda'+\frac{q}{2})^+$ (as well as analytical ones, see section IV) spontaneously break the symmetry of $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$, lowering it up to the symmetry of its open subgroups, while finite dimensional representations are characterized by the complete symmetry of $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$. And nevertheless despite the fact that in the extended Fock representation the symmetry of $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$ is complete, $U(2,2)$-symmetry remains broken by the topology of the dual pair of spaces $(\Phi'\,,\Phi)$ (compare with a case of algebra h_4) that it will be seen from further considerations. In particular, the exponent e^{ipx} is connected with the space Φ', and $e^{i\dot{p}\dot{x}}$ is with Φ.

In the z-realization for the operators p_μ and $\dot{p}_\mu$ we have expressions

$$p_\mu=\bar{z}\,\overset{+}{\sigma}_\mu\,z\,,\quad \dot{p}_\mu=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\,\bar{\sigma}_\mu\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}} \tag{65}$$

where $\overset{\pm}{\sigma}_\mu=(\vec{\sigma}\,,\pm i)$ are the Pauli matrices. The formulae of the extended Fock representation will play the extremely important role in further specific calculations.

VII. Representation structure of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ on the space $\mathcal{F}_0$ and the fermion-antifermion asymmetry

It is meaningful to consider a restriction of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ from space $\mathbf{F}=\mathcal{F}_F\otimes\mathcal{F}_0$ on the subspace of additional variables $\mathcal{F}_0$ accompanied actually by restricting of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ up to the algebra $h_4^{(*)}=h_2\oplus h_2^*$. We shall denote generators of the algebra $h_4^{(*)}$ by $\phi\,,\bar{\phi}$ which are written down as

$$\phi=\begin{pmatrix}\partial/\partial\bar{z}\\ z\end{pmatrix},\quad \bar{\phi}=\left(\bar{z}\,,-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \tag{66}$$

and act on $\mathcal{F}_0$. The bilinear forms $\bar{\phi}_\alpha\phi_\beta\,,\phi_\alpha\phi_\beta\,,\bar{\phi}_\alpha\bar{\phi}_\beta$ will form the algebra $sp^{(*)}(2,\mathbf{C})$. From them real variables written down as $L_\mu=\bar{\phi}\sigma_\mu\phi$ $(\mu=0,1,2,3)$ will form the algebra $u(1,1)$. Exlicitly we have $(L_\pm=L_1\pm iL_2)$

$$L_+=\bar{z}z\,,\quad L_-=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}}\,,\quad L_3=-\frac{1}{2}\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}}+1\right),$$

$$L_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \bar{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + 1\right).$$

Note that $L_+\,, L_-\,, L_0$ is that remains after the restriction $h_8^{(*)} \supset h_4^{(*)}$ for the operators $p_\mu\,, \dot{p}_\mu\,, \frac{1}{2}A$, thus $p_\mu \to (0, 0, L_+\,, iL_+)$ and $\dot{p}_\mu \to (0, 0, L_-\,, -iL_-)$, i. e. the representation restriction from $\mathbf{F}$ to $\mathcal{F}_0$ is accompanied by restricting of the group (vector) space $T_{3,1} \supset T_{1,1}$.

Further, it is easy to see that a representation of the algebra $u(1,1)$ in the class of functions of two complex variables z and $\bar{z}$ (it will be specified hardly later) has the following structure

$$\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} D^+(-\tfrac{k+1}{2})\right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} D^+(\tfrac{k-1}{2})\right). \tag{67}$$

Here $D^+(-\frac{k+1}{2})$ is an irreducible representation of $su(1,1)$ with a weight $-\frac{k+1}{2}$ $(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$, which is realized in the class of entire functions in the form $z^k\, f(\bar{z}z)$ where $f(\bar{z}z)$ is a entire function of the variable $\bar{z}z$, i. e. of functions expanded in a Taylor series. Vectors of a subspace on which the representations $D^+(-\frac{k+1}{2})$ are realized are characterized by positive values of the operator $F = -2L_0 - 1 = k \geq 0$ standing for the fermionic charge. In regard to representations $D^+(\frac{k-1}{2})$ with a weight $\frac{k-1}{2}$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots)$ which are realized in the class of meromorphic functions expanded in a Loran series, it is incompletely reducible and has the structure

$$D^+(\tfrac{k-1}{2}) = D(\tfrac{k-1}{2}) \;+)\; D^{'+}(-\tfrac{k+1}{2}) \tag{68}$$

where $D(\frac{k-1}{2})$ is a finite dimensional representation of $su(1,1)$, and $D^{'+}(-\frac{k+1}{2})$ is its infinite dimensional "tail" equivalent to an irreducible representation $D^+(-\frac{k+1}{2})$, see [2]. The half-obstinate sum in (68) turns in the direction of the invariant subspace. If the "tail" $D^{'+}(-\frac{k+1}{2})$ is realized in the class of entire functions in the form $\bar{z}^k\, f(\bar{z}z)$, the finite dimensional representation is realized in the class of singular (in zero) functions in the form $\frac{1}{z^k}P(\bar{z}z)$ where $P(\bar{z}z)$ is a polinomial of degree $\leq k$ for $\bar{z}z$. Vectors, on which representations $D^+(\frac{k-1}{2})$ are realized, are characterized by negative values of the fermionic charge $F < 0$. It is clear that singular functions are acceptable neither with the physical nor mathematical point of view, and therefore it is necessary them to reject. However to reject only finite dimensional blocks in (67), to retain invariant subspaces $D^{'+}(-\frac{k+1}{2})$, it is impossible. First, $D(\frac{k-1}{2})$ are non-invariant subspaces, and consequently the factorization on them is not allowable. Secondly, it is impossible to avoid blocks $D(\frac{k-1}{2})$ (for the same reason), as only from them it is possible to get in $D^{'+}(-\frac{k+1}{2})$ with the help of the raising operator L_+. There is only one opportunity to exclude the singular functions — it is wholly to exclude (to

factorize) all the representations $D^+(\frac{k-1}{2})$, i. e. as a representation space of the algebra $h_4^{(*)}$, to consider the space

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_0^{(k)} \tag{69}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0^{(k)}$ is a class of functions in the form $z^k\, f(\bar{z}z)$. On the space the fermionic charge takes only on positive values. And this means that the fermion-antifermion symmetry completely broken underlies the propounded theory. This conclusion is of fundamental importance for cosmology: at the moment of the creation of our universe the quantum transition $f \to \dot{f}$ will be generated only fermions.

VIII. Other basic consequences

The makings, occurring in space-time, are considered in the kinetic theory which is classical in its character. In the quantum theory the makings are not realized in space-time, but in a representation space, and consequently are essentially probable in character. If processes proceeding in space-time are evolutionary and a cause-effect connection is typical for them, quantum processes (in particular, jumps) follow another principle — one of purposefulness, in the case a causality is completely away. From the pure philosophical point of view, it is necessary to estimate a making (being of fundamental importance) of the complete symmetry of the Lorentz group, which connected with the theorem (64), and also another so important making of four-dimensionality of $\mathbf{R}_{3,1}$ from two-dimensionality of $\mathbf{R}_{1,1}$, which connected with expansion of a representation of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ from the space $\mathcal{F}_0$ up to the space $\mathbf{F}$ (see the previous section). It is impossible to answer a question in which the moment of time (time is still not present as a category) the non-Fock representation of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ becomes the extended Fock representation and, hence, when the complete symmetry arises from the broken Lorentz symmetry in the same way as it is impossible to answer a question when a representation of $h_8^{(*)}$ extends from $\mathcal{F}_0$ (connected with two-dimensionality) to $\mathbf{F}$ (connected with four-dimensionality). All these processes are essentially probable in character and do not obey the principle of causality. Thus "the present" can be determined by "the future". This happens to be the case for such parameters of states of relativistic bi-Hamiltonian system as T_f and $T_{\dot{f}}$ (they will appear in a further consideration), the numerical values of which are determined by conditions in which the process of quantum transition $f \to \dot{f}$ occurs. Before the transition it is necessary to speak about them as about a priori variables which, by the way, essentially determines a geometry of

space-time continuum in which there is (before the transition $f \to \dot{f}$) an ensemble of states f [1]. In the propounded theory the mentioned above processes, as if they are pressed all together, exist and go "simultaneously", determining the fact that the Leibnitz called by the beforehand-established harmony (in this case it is beforehand-established by the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$). Only after the creation of space-time continuum the processes develop as a chain of cause-effect events in it.

One more consequence of the non-unitary theory concerns a unequivalence of the Heisenberg picture and the Schrödinger picture, see [1], over which Dirac [31] seriously thought in the framework of the usual unitary scheme, but which really finds out only within the framework of the non-unitary scheme using the dual pair of topological vector spaces. By the way, the pair of spaces (Φ', Φ) and the Gauss decomposition (associated with it) B_+B_- of the group $Sp^{(*)}(4, \mathbf{C})$ (such that $e^{ipx} \in B_+$, and $e^{i\dot{p}\dot{x}} \in B_-$; in [1] the correspondence refers to as a polarization of relativistic bi-Hamiltonian system) result not only in T (and, hence, CP)-asymmetry of the non-unitary scheme (see earlier), but also P- and C-asymmetry. In the addition to this we shall notice that T-symmetry is broken also by additional variables $z \doteq z_2$. Indeed, under the reflection of T, as is known, we have $z_2 \to i\bar{z}_1$. However additional variables $z' \doteq z_1$ is not present in the scheme such that the space $\mathcal{F}_0$ is not invariant under the reflection of T.

On the frequently given question why our space has three dimensions (Kant, Poincaré, Erenfest, Weyl) now it is possible to answer so: three dimensions of space (and its appearence) is caused by symmetry properties of the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ and its representations describing the Universum as a single whole.

Our discussion will be incomplete, if not to note that the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ represents a real form of the Penroise twistor algebra $h_8(\mathbf{C})$ [32] which is appeared on the pure geometrical way in the context of the cohomology theory. For the theory of elementary particles the twistor program has resulted in rather limited results. However, if to proceed to the algebra $h_8^{(*)}$ from the point of view of functional analysis and the theory of representations, it is possible to achieve an essential progress in construction of the consecutive theory of elementary particles. These questions will be considered in other articles.

References

[1] S. S. Sannikov and I. I. Uvarov, Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii, seriya Fizika, No. 10, 5(1990)(translation in Soviet Physics Journal); S. S. Sannikov, Ukrain. J. Phys. **40**, No. 7, 650(1995).

[2] S. S. Sannikov, Ukrain. J. Phys. **9**, No. 10, 1139(1964);

[3] J. von Neuman *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, translated by R. T. Beyer (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1955).

[4] V. Bargmann, Ann. of Math. **48**, No. 3, 568(1947).

[5] The existence of the ground state is connected extremely with the condition of Hermitian symmetry (9). Indeed, in the equation $a^2a^1f = mf$ there is the number $m = \frac{(a^1f,a^1f)}{(f,f)} \geq 0$ such that $m = 0$, if $a^1f = 0$. So in this case $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

[6] S. S. Sannikov, Ukrain. J. Phys. **10**, No. 6, 684(1965);

[7] S. S. Sannikov, Bul. Inst. Pol. Iasi **XII(XVI)**, Fasc. 3-4, 121(1966); Yad. Fiz. **1**, No. 9, 570(1965); Yad. Fiz. **6**, No. 6, 1294(1967); Yad. Fiz. **4**, No. 3, 587(1966); Nucl. Phys. **B1**, 594(1967);

[8] S. S. Sannikov, Nucl. Phys. **B1**, 686(1967);

[9] S. S. Sannikov, preprint ITP-89-45R (Kiev, 1989);

[10] S. S. Sannikov, Problems of nuclear physics and space beams, No. 32, 31(Kharkov, 1989);

[11] S. S. Sannikov, Teor. Math. Phys. **34**, No. 1, 34(1978); Ukrain. J. Phys. **12**, No. 5, 872(1967);

[12] S. S. Sannikov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **209**, 324(1973);

[13] A weight of the representation $[\lambda_0, c]$ are connected with C and C' by the formulae $C = \lambda_0^2 + c^2 - 1, C' = i\lambda_0 c$, thus the Pauli pair (λ, λ') is expressed by λ, c under the formulae $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_0 + c - 1)$, $\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}(c - \lambda_0 - 1)$.

[14] E. Majorana, Nuovo Cim. **9**, 335(1932).

[15] S. S. Sannikov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **176**, 801(1967);

[16] The algebra $su^c(2) \approx sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ should not be mixed up with another algebra $sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ represented in $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ by the operators $(\vec{L}, \vec{N})$, see above.

[17] S. S. Sannikov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **178**, 800(1968);

[18] I. S. Granshtein and I. M. Ryzhik *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products* 4th ed. (Academic, New York, 1965).

[19] S. S. Sannikov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **177**, 1285(1967); D. Phys.-Math. Sc. thesis (Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, 1991);

[20] S. S. Sannikov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **198**, 297(1971);

[21] L. H. Ryder *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985).

[22] In particular, the series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n$ summable to the Dirac $\delta(1-z)$-function has the same property. Note that Euler puts this series equal to zero by error which now is well clear.

[23] W. Heisenberg *Introduction to the Unified Field Theory of Elementary Particles* (Intersciences Publishers, London, 1966).

[24] M. A. Naimark *Linear representations of the Lorentz group* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1964).

[25] L. de Broglie, Rev. d'histoire des science **5**, 289(1952).

[26] S. S. Sannikov, preprint ITP-67-34 (Kiev, 1967);

[27] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Royal Soc. **D10**, No. 6, 1760(1974).

[28] The appearence of a double sum in (53) is a simple consequence of that $su^c(2)$ is a Lie algebra of the rank 2 in which a representation are characterized by a pair of independent numbers $(\lambda\,,\lambda')$ satisfying only one condition $\lambda-\lambda'\neq\frac{n}{2}$.

[29] S. S. Sannikov, Ukrain. J. Phys. **11**, 106(1966);

[30] S. S. Sannikov, preprint ITP-73-6R (Kiev, 1973);

[31] P. A. M. Dirac *Lectures on Quantum Field Theory* (Yeshiva University, New York, 1967).

[32] R. Penrose, Rep. on Math. Phys. **12**, 65(1977).